



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/574,823	11/29/2006	Wilhelmus Petrus Johannes De Kruijf	3135-061099	3652
28289 7590 03/09/2010 THE WEBB LAW FIRM, P.C. 700 KOPPERS BUILDING 436 SEVENTH AVENUE PITTSBURGH, PA 15219				
EXAMINER				
CONLEY, FREDRICK C				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3673				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
03/09/2010		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<p style="text-align: center; font-weight: bold; font-size: 1.2em;">Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</p>	<p>Application No. 10/574,823</p>	<p>Applicant(s) DE KRUIJF, WILHELMUS PETRUS JOHANNES</p>	
<p>Examiner FREDRICK C. CONLEY</p>	<p>Art Unit 3673</p>		

All Participants: _____ **Status of Application:** _____

(1) FREDRICK C. CONLEY. (3) _____

(2) JOHN W. MCILVAINE. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 12 February 2010 **Time:** _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
N/A

Prior art documents discussed:
N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/FREDRICK C CONLEY/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3673

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner informed the Applicant's representative that the informal hand written changes to the specification faxed to the Examiner on 2/12/10 do not constitute a proper and official response. As previously stated, AMDTS TO THE SPECIFICATION (A.PE AND SPEC 4-6-2006) DO NOT COINCIDE WITH THE GIVEN LOCATION OF THE ACTUAL SPECIFICATION. In order to avoid abandonment of the Application the Applicant's representative should fax an official amendment to 571-273-8300.